# Hacking supply chain: An SBOM survival guide

**Dmitry Moiseev** 

#### Introduction

#### RU Born and raised in Russia

Now navigating the wireless waves of Chicago

Creating cutting-edge telecom networking equipment (mostly wireless)

Sued by a *multi-billion dollar giant* for... reverse engineering (Oops!)

Still doing what I love: pushing boundaries in wireless tech



# What is a Supply Chain Attack?

- **Definition**: A cyberattack targeting third-party vendors or software components to infiltrate a target organization.
- Why It's Dangerous:
  - Wide-reaching impact: One compromised vendor can infect many downstream customers.
  - **Exploits Trust**: Attackers manipulate trusted relationships between vendors and clients.
  - **Hidden Entry Points**: Attackers hide in the layers of software dependencies, making detection difficult.



# Real-World Consequences: Supply Chain Attacks

- SolarWinds: Compromise at the supplier level led to widespread breach.
- Log4j: Open-source vulnerabilities impacting millions.
- Dependency Confusion: Exploiting package managers to inject malicious code.



#### The XZ Utils Backdoor

• Social Engineering the Open-Source Ecosystem:

Jia Tan, socially engineered their way into a co-maintainer role for the widely used **XZ Utils** (a compression library in nearly all Linux distributions).

- This attack targeted **liblzma**, a dependency for OpenSSH, which runs on over 20 million IPs globally.
- Years in the Making: The attack was meticulously planned since at least 2021. It aimed to backdoor major Linux distributions.
- The malicious code was merged as part of binary test input files and executed during the build process
- CVE-2024-3094:

The backdoor allowed **unauthenticated remote code execution**, rated as a **CVSS 10** (highest possible severity)

• Discovered by Chance:

Microsoft engineer **Andre Freund** stumbled upon the backdoor while troubleshooting CPU spikes in Debian systems.

 It wasn't detected through rigorous security checks but by a stroke of luck





Empowering the World, Exposing the World: The Open Source Paradox



2024 BlackDuck "Open Source Security and Risk Analysis" (OSSRA) report



#### How bad is bad?

2024 BlackDuck "Open Source Security and Risk Analysis" (OSSRA) report



84%

of codebases contained at least one open source vulnerability

#rror\_mod = modifier\_ob
mirror object to mirror
irror\_mod.mirror\_object
Peration = "MIRROR\_X":
 rror\_mod.use\_X = True
 rror\_mod.use\_Y = False
 operation == "MIRROR\_Y
 rror\_mod.use\_X = False
 operation == "MIRROR\_Z
 rror\_mod.use\_X = False
 operation == "MIRROR\_Z

election at the end -ad ob.select= 1 er\_ob.select=1 ntext.scene.objects.action "Selected" + str(modifient irror\_ob.select = 0 bpy.context.selected\_ob ta.objects[one.name].selected\_ob ta.objects[one.name].selected\_ob

mint("please select exactle

---- OPERATOR CLASSES ----



## What is an SBOM (Software Bill of Materials)?

- A detailed inventory of all components in a software product.
- Includes open-source and third-party libraries.
- Provides visibility into the entire software supply chain.



#### Why Does SBOM Matter?

- **Transparency**: Know exactly what's in the software you're attacking or defending.
- **Vulnerability Tracking**: Spot and exploit or patch known weaknesses faster.
- **Compliance Pressure**: Regulatory frameworks are making SBOMs mandatory in many industries.



#### Global Push for SBOM Adoption

- U.S. Executive Order 14028 (Cybersecurity Executive Order): Requires federal agencies and vendors to provide SBOMs.
- **EU Cyber Resilience Act**: Expected to introduce similar requirements for software sold in the EU.
- NIST's Secure Software Development Framework (SSDF) also emphasize SBOMs.



#### NTIA and SBOM Governance

- What is NTIA?
  - The National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) is part of the U.S. Department of Commerce, focused on securing communications and internet infrastructure.
- Why NTIA Cares About SBOMs
  - To increase **cybersecurity** and transparency in software supply chains.
  - Aims to protect **critical sectors** like telecom, healthcare, and energy from supply chain attacks.
- Is It a Requirement or a Recommendation?
  - **Recommendation**: NTIA's SBOM guidelines are currently **recommendations**, but they align with federal efforts to improve cybersecurity standards across industries.



# Regulatory Push for SBOMs

- FDA Guidelines for Medical Devices
  - FDA requires **SBOMs** for medical devices.
  - Tracks third-party software and potential vulnerabilities.
- CISA's Role in Critical Infrastructure
  - Advocating SBOM Adoption: Focuses on securing sectors like energy, healthcare, finance.
  - "SBOM-a-rama" Initiative: Promoting widespread SBOM use and developing tools for supply chain security.
- OpenSSF and Linux Foundation Initiatives
  - Securing Open-Source Supply Chains:
    - Focus on improving **open-source software** security.
  - Best Practices and Tool Development:
    - Creating SBOM tools and guidelines to manage dependencies.

#### **SBOMs: More Than Just Compliance**

- SBOMs are moving from niche to mainstream due to growing cyber threats.
- Increasing demand for transparency in software supply chains.



#### Securing the Entire Software Supply Chain

• SBOMs ensure transparency across the entire supply chain, from open-source dependencies to proprietary code.



election at the end -add \_ob.select= 1 er\_ob.select=1 ntext.scene.objects.active "Selected" + str(modifie error\_ob.select = 0 bpy.context.selected\_ob ata.objects[one.name].selected\_ob

pint("please select exaction

-----

x mirror to the selecter
ict.mirror\_mirror\_x"
ror X"

context):
context.active\_object is not
c

### SBOM | Formats

- Software package data exchange (SPDX)
- CycloneDX (CDX)
- Software identification tags (SWID)

| Baseline                        | SPDX   | SWID   | CycloneDX   |  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Who & When                      | Linux Foundation @ 2010  | NIST @ 2009  | OWASP @ 2017  |  |
| Audience                        | Standard-centric (ISO/IEC<br>5962:2021)<br><u>Standard, License formats,</u><br><u>Deprecated licenses</u> | Standard-centric (ISO/IEC<br>19770-2:2015)<br><u>Standard</u> , <u>Guidelins</u> | Developer-centric, <u>Standard</u> ,<br>License example |  |
| (Original) Focus                | Licensing  | Deployment Life Cycle  | Security  |  |
| Supported Unique<br>Identifiers | SPDX License ID<br>SWID, PURL, CPE   | SWID, CoSWID   | SPDX License ID,<br>SWID, PURL, CPE<br>SHA, BLAKE       |  |
| Main Advantage                  | Components Relationships   | Government-backed,<br>multi-purpose  | Verbosity, Security Info                                |  |
| Format                          | v2.3: RDF/XML, JSON, YAML  | XML  | V1.5: JSON,XML  |  |

SBOM Format Comparison



#### Software Unique Identifiers

- CPE (Common Platform Enumeration)
  - Usage: Identifies software, hardware, and operating systems.
  - Format: cpe:/a:vendor:product:version
  - Example: cpe:/a:microsoft:windows\_10:1909
- PURL (Package URL)
  - **Usage**: Identifies software packages across different ecosystems (e.g., npm, Maven, PyPI).
  - Format: pkg:type/vendor/name@version
  - Example: pkg:npm/angular/core@12.0.0
- SWID (Software Identification Tags)
  - Usage: Tags software with metadata for inventory and compliance.
  - Standard: ISO/IEC 19770-2
  - Example: <SoftwareIdentity Name="ExampleApp" Version="1.0.0" />
- GAV (Group, Artifact, Version)
  - Usage: Uniquely identifies artifacts in Maven repositories.
  - Format: group:artifact:version
  - **Example**: org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-core:2.14.1



#### **SBOM and Open Source Licenses**

- Why Licenses Matter in SBOMs
  - **Open-source software** often includes components governed by a variety of licenses (e.g., MIT, GPL, Apache).
  - Tracking licenses is crucial for **compliance** and **risk management** in software supply chains.
- **SBOMs** help organizations identify which licenses apply to each software component.
- SPDX License ID
  - SPDX (Software Package Data Exchange) is an open standard for identifying open-source licenses.
  - Each license has a unique **SPDX License ID** (e.g., MIT, GPL-3.0, Apache-2.0), which helps standardize license tracking in SBOMs.
- Simplifies the process of ensuring legal compliance by making license identification consistent and automated.

#### SBOM Tools



```
"specVersion": "1.2",
        "serialNumber": "urn:uuid:371ffb8c-c11e-42b5-b5b9-9280fc62783e",
        "version": 1,
        "metadata": {
          "timestamp": "2020-08-03T08:53:09.834Z",
          "tools": [
            ł
              "vendor": "CycloneDX",
              "name": "Node.js module",
11
              "version": "2.0.0"
12
            3
          ],
          "component": {
            "type": "library",
16
17
            "bom-ref": "pkg:npm/protonmail-web@4.0.0-beta.20",
            "name": "protonmail-web",
18
            "version": "4.0.0-beta.20",
            "description": "Angular frontend for protonal Bor OM Example
                "license": {
                  "id": "MIT"
                }
              }
            ],
            "purl": "pkg:npm/protonmail-web@4.0.0-beta.20",
            "externalReferences": [
               {
                "type": "website",
31
                "url": "https://github.com/ProtonMail/WebClient#readme"
              },
                "type": "issue-tracker",
                "url": "https://github.com/ProtonMail/WebClient/issues"
              },
```

8

10

13

14

21

27

28

29

30

34

36 37

#### **SBOM Generation: Automation is Key**

| 1. Syft                   | <ul> <li>CLI tool to generate SBOMs from container images and file systems.</li> <li>Supports CycloneDX and SPDX formats.</li> <li>Integrates easily with CI/CD pipelines for continuous monitoring.</li> </ul>                             |  |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| 2. CycloneDX              | <ul> <li>Open-source SBOM generation tool with a focus on security.</li> <li>Supports multiple ecosystems and detailed component relationship tracking.</li> <li>Popular in security-first environments for dependency tracking.</li> </ul> |  |
| 3. FOSSA                  | <ul> <li>Manages open-source dependencies, licenses, and vulnerabilities.</li> <li>Automates SBOM generation with legal compliance focus.</li> <li>Integrates with CI/CD for continuous monitoring.</li> </ul>                              |  |
| 4. Black Duck by Synopsys | <ul> <li>Comprehensive SBOM generation with software composition analysis.</li> <li>Tracks vulnerabilities and license compliance in open-source components.</li> <li>Preferred by large enterprises for its security insights.</li> </ul>  |  |
| 5. Dependency-Track       | <ul> <li>Open-source platform focused on tracking vulnerabilities in dependencies.</li> <li>Real-time SBOM generation with vulnerability monitoring.</li> <li>Uses CycloneDX for detailed component analysis.</li> </ul>                    |  |
| 6. Tern                   | <ul> <li>Focused on container images, generates SBOMs for containers.</li> <li>Provides detailed information on licenses and vulnerabilities.</li> <li>Ideal for teams focused on container security.</li> </ul>                            |  |
| 7. Anchore                | <ul> <li>Platform for container security and software supply chain integrity.</li> <li>Generates SBOMs and integrates with CI/CD for continuous security monitoring.</li> <li>Strong focus on containerized environments.</li> </ul>        |  |

SBOMs + Vulnerability Databases = Powerful Defense SBOMs combined with vulnerability databases (e.g., **CVE, NVD**) help identify known vulnerabilities.

Real-time alerts when new vulnerabilities are discovered in used components.

Speed up the patching process by knowing exactly which components are at risk.



|   | syslog-ng        | 3.0.8              | GPL-2.0          |
|---|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
|   | uboot-envtools   | 20081215           | GPL-2.0          |
|   | udevtrigger      | 106                | GPL-2.0          |
|   | util-linux       | 2.28               | GPL-2.0          |
|   | wget             | 1.10.2             | GPL-2.0          |
|   | wireless-tools   | 29                 | GPL-2.0          |
|   | iputils          | 20071127           | GPL-2.0 BSD      |
| license   | uci              | 12012009.7         | GPL-2.0 LGPL-2.1 |
| ● GPL-2.0   | libubox          | 2015-09-15         | ISC              |
| • MIT Ildpd   |                  | 0.7.19             | ISC              |
| Cambium     libiconv  |                  | 1.11               | LGPL-2.1         |
| BSD-3-Clause libiconv-full  |                  | 1.11.1             | LGPL-2.1         |
|   | libmnl           | 1.0.3              | LGPL-2.1         |
|   | libwebsockets    | v3.0-stable        | LGPL-2.1         |
| Apache-2.0  | px5g             | 1                  | LGPL-2.1         |
| <ul><li>BSD-4-Clause ajaxfileuploader</li><li>ISC bootstrap</li></ul> |                  | 2.1                | MIT              |
|   |                  | 3.5.3              | MIT              |
| GPL-2.0 BSD   | bootstrap-slider | 0.6.2              | MIT              |
|   | dropbear         | 2020.81            | MIT              |
| GPL-2.0 LGPL-2.1  | expat            | 2.0.1              | MIT              |
| OpenSSL   | jansson          | 2.5                | MIT              |
| Zlib  | jquery           | 3.2.1              | MIT              |
|   | jquery-progress  | 1.0.4              | MIT              |
|   | js-cookie        | 3.0.0              | MIT              |
|   | lua              | 5.1.4              | MIT              |
|   | lua-cjson        | 1                  | MIT              |
|   | luajit           | 2017-01-17-71ff7ef | MIT              |
|   | ncurses          | 5.7                | MIT              |
|   | slickgrid        | 1.7.2              | MIT              |

-

#### Count of cve by package\_name



|   | package_name | package_version | cve            | CVSS | Description(cve.mitre.org)   |
|---|--------------|-----------------|----------------|------|--|
|   | πρχιτικζ     | 2.3.3           | CVL-2021-3337  | 5.30 | dereference. If an untrusted XML document was parsed in recovery mode and post-valid highest threat from this vulnerability is to system availability.   |
|   | lighttpd     | 1.4.54          | CVE-2022-22707 | 5.90 | In lighttpd 1.4.46 through 1.4.63, the mod_extforward_Forwarded function of the mod_extforward_Forward_Forwarded function of the mod_extforward_Forward_Forwarded function of the mod_extforward_Forward_Forwarded function of the mod_extforward_Forward_Forwarded function of the mod_extforward_Forward_Forward_Forward_Forward_Forwarded function of the mod_extforward_Forward_Forwarded function of the mod_extforward_Forward_Forwarded function of the mod_extforward_Forward_ |
| c | u-boot       | 2014.10         | CVE-2019-11690 | 5.90 | gen_rand_uuid in lib/uuid.c in Das U-Boot v2014.04 through v2019.04 lacks an srand cal<br>where CONFIG_RANDOM_UUID is enabled, and Das U-Boot is relied upon for UUID value  |
|   | dashboard    | 1               | CVE-2018-25063 | 6.10 | A vulnerability classified as problematic was found in Zenoss Dashboard up to 1.3.4. Affe<br>ZenPacks/zenoss/Dashboard/browser/resources/js/defaultportlets.js. The manipulation<br>attack can be launched remotely. Upgrading to version 1.3.5 is able to address this issue  |

#### **Red Hat**

#### Who's Leading the Charge?

- Major Tech Companies like Microsoft, Google, and Red Hat have embraced SBOMs. Yet difficult to find.
- Sectors like Healthcare and Finance are pushing for SBOM adoption to protect critical infrastructure. But available either as a part of governance or by request.

# Microsoft

#### **SBOM Challenges**





#### SBOM: A Double-Edged Sword

- For Attackers: SBOMs can reveal weak links in the software chain.
- For Defenders: SBOMs can help harden systems by exposing vulnerabilities before attackers do.